TOP SEADET

CHAL-1988-66 Copy 5

15 July 1960

## FUTURE OF THE AGENCY'S U-2 CAPABILITY

- i. The problem is whether a U-2 capability should be maintained in the bands of a joint CIA/USAF Project as in the past and, if so, for what purpose, on what scale, and where deployed.
- 2. Employment of the U-2s: It is assumed that, at least for the next seven mouths, no reconnaissance will be conducted with these aircraft over the USSR or the European Satellites. It is further assumed, however, that if a drastic change should occur in the world cituation, this policy might be re-examined and that it may in any event be reconsidered eight mouths hence. This contingency chould be provided for. In the meanwhile it is hoped that an appropriate way can be found to most a high priority requirement for additional photographic reconnaissance over Communist China. Specific requirements also arise from time to time for reconnaissance over neutral areas, in such regions as the Near East, Southeast Asia and the Caribbean.
- 3. Vulnerability: The vulnerability of the U-2 to the Soviet air defense systems has been exhaustively studied by the Central Intelligence Agency and the Air Force in the light of the May Day incident. Early conclusions concerning that event and previous estimates of Seviet interception capabilities have been substantially reaffirmed to the effect that:
  - a. It is unlikely (but possible) that damage was inflicted on the aircraft at cruising altitude by a Soviet ground-to-air missile. The probable cause of its loss was a maifunction which forced it to descend below cruising altitude.
  - b. Any missions which might in the future be authorized over the USSR should avoid flying directly over known or suspected SAM (ground-to-air missile) sites. If this practice were followed, the probability of successful interception would remain low.

- 4. Medifications: Certain medifications could be made in the U-2, with some sacrifice in range, in order to reduce valuerability and eliminate dependence on bases close to the periphery of the Communist Bloc. These include the following:
  - a. Pyrephoric ignition, which permits an engine relight at or close to full cruising altitude in the event of a flameaut not due to a basic mailunction.
  - b. Single side-band radio, which would permit recall of a mission in the event of evidence of dangerous attempts at interception.
  - c. Possibly a provision for improved electronic countermeasures, which would interfere with enemy missile guidance and thus reduce risk.
  - d. Capability for in-flight refueling, which would enhance mobility by making possible longer and safer ferry flights and would permit operational missions to be launched from bases 1,000 to 1,500 miles from the point of posstration of the target area.
- from its inception the employment of these aircraft estensibly (and most of the time actually) for innocent purposes but also for occasional claudestine everflight missions. It was organized jointly by the CIA and the Air Force but within the Agency's administrative framework and line of command in order to avoid any military coloration and to ensure that in the event of compromise the activity would be identified as a form of civilian espionage rather than an aggressive military act. If U-2 aircraft are to be available for the purposes set forth in paragraph 2, above, it would appear that the same considerations would apply and would argue for the maintenance of a capability under civilian, or non-U.S., or at any rate non-tactical cover.

## 6. Proposel:

a. A greatly reduced and redeployed U-I capability will be maintained within the same organizational framework of a joint CIA/USAF Project and subject to the same centrol procedures as in the past. The medifications proposed in paragraph 4, above will be carried out on \_\_\_\_\_ aircraft. As

25X1A

	25X1A	soon as these medifications and redeployment are completed, half of the Agency's present inventory of aircraft will be turned over to the Air Force for use by SAC and ARDC.
25X1C		b. All U-le formerly deployed to Japan have been returned to the U.S.; those still deployed at Adams, Turkey, will be returned in the near future.
•		
	25X1A	d. The other U-ds to be retained for this Project will be maintained on a base in the ZI with trained personnel organized and prepared for quick staging to any part of the world where they may be required. Their typical mode of operation will be to make a terry flight to a staging base overseas only when conditions are expected to be favorable for an operational mission, to complete the operational mission the following day or as soon as feasible, then to return to the ZI. This procedure will avoid charges that the U-Z is stationed at an everseas base and will avoid the need for a plausible but innocent explanation of the permanent basing of those aircraft near the USSE. Since with in-flight
	25X1C	refueling even the staging bases can be reasonably remote from Communist areas, it is to be boyed that the Soviets will not even know what oversons bases are being used.

## Approved For Release 2002/09/03 CARDP63-00313A000600060040-6

25X1C

7. Alternative: The alternative to the maintenance in a reduced and modified form of a U-1 capability entaids of the Military establishment is the liquidation of the existing Project and the transfer of all equipment to the Air Force. It should be emphasized that this capability could not be reconstituted without three to six months delay, mainly because the particular personnel could not be reassembled and considerable time would be required for recruitment, clearances, and training. On the other hand, there exists in SAC a wholly competent Military organisation possessed of this capability.